

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/789,312	Applicant(s) MAD ARIF ET AL.	
	Examiner Nora M. Rooney	Art Unit 1644	

All Participants:

(1) Nora M. Rooney.

(2) Lin Hymel.
Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 September 2007
Time: Afternoon
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:


Claims discussed:

22, 30 and 40.

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Hymel and the Examiner discussed amending claim 22 to delete the portion of the claim reciting conservative substitutions thereof. Mr. Hymel further requested that the word 'glycoprotein' be changed back to the word 'protein' and the Examiner accepted that proposed amendment. Mr Hymel acknowledged that Applicant has authorized the proposed amendments. .